CHARGED PROTECTION	
John Martin	
FLORIDA	

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/E ARMS COMPL		(CI)		
AIRS ID#: 0250958 DA	TE: <u>10/27/2011</u>	ARRIVE: <u>11:23</u>	AM	DEPART: 12	:13 PM	
FACILITY NAME: CC	DRESLAB STRUCTURES, INC	1				
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 10501 NW 121 Way					
	MIAMI 33178-1028					
OWNER/AUTHORIZE Email: CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERI	ED REPRESENTATIVE: TEL (OD: 4/7/2008 / 4/7/2013 (effective date) (end date)) WOLFSTHAL	PHONE: (Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	(305)823-8950		
I	Facility Section					
	N COMPLIANCE STATUS (cl					
🛛 IN COMPLIAN	ICE MINOR Non-COMI	PLIANCE SIC	GNIFICANT I	Non-COMPLIAN	VCE	
r						
PART II: <u>ONSITE INT</u>	RODUCTORY MEETING				check 🗹	•
1. Name(s) of facility rep	presentative(s): <u>ALBERTO GO</u>	NZALEZ		DU.	x for each	question)
Brief Notes:						
2. Is the Authorized Rep If no, who is?:	oresentative still TED WOLFSTF	HAL?		>	Yes	No
If different, did the fac 3. Is the facility contact s If no, who is?:	cility provide an administrative u still ?	ıpdate within 30 days	?	C	Yes Yes	□No □No
4. Will facility be condu- If yes, was the compli	cting VE test(s) during today's in iance authority notified at least 12	nspection? 5 days in advance?		×	Yes Yes	□No □No

Emissions Unit Section <u>1 – Concrete batch plant subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)	
 Date of last inspection: <u>10/28/2010</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	🗍 Yes	□ No ⊠ No □ No	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check 🗹	only one	
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	box for each	2	
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: 			
 Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 		🗌 No	
 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the 	🛛 Yes	🗌 No	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No	
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No	
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 		□ No □ No	

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹 only one box
	for each question)
 Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c. 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? 	Yes No Yes No
 Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? 	
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air ger permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? If YES, what other general permit units or activities?	
 3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? 	
gal diesel/yrgal gasoline/yrMM SCF nat. gas/yrMM gal pro275,000 gal diesel/yr23,000 gal gasoline/yr44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr1.3 MM gal propagation	
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consu for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑ on for each qu	•
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	🗌 Yes	🖂 No
 Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? 		No
b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access		🗌 No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	🛛 Yes	No No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary [X]; relocatable []; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable [] concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If only stationary, skip the follow)	(check 🗹 box for each ing question 2.	question)
 2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900] 	0(6)]	No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? - c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900 to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation	(6)]	∐ No □ No
 If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation per and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage to the plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage). 		🗌 No
If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?		D No
CHANGES	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)

Ac	dministrative Changes:	, ,
1.	Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not	
	associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or	
	operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? 🗌 Yes	🛛 No
2.	If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? Yes	🗌 No
Ne	ew or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	
3.	Since the last registration form submittal has there been	
	a. Installation of any new process equipment? Yes	🛛 No
	b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	🛛 No
	c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? [] Yes	🛛 No
	d. A change in ownership? [] Yes	🛛 No
4	If the answer to any question $3a - d$. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee submitted	
	30 days prior to the change? Yes	No No

FRANK DELGADO

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Date of Inspection

10/2012

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

10/27/2011

COMMENTS: WILLIAM ARLINGTON PERFORMED ONE VISIBLE EMISSIONS TEST ON THE WESTSIDE DUST COLLECTOR OF THE SPLIT SILO EU#1. THE OTHER SIDE OF THE SPLIT SILO IS OUT OF SERVICE. THIS IS THE ONLY CONCRETE PLANT AT THE FACILITY THAT IS IN SERVICE; THE OTHER TWO ARE OUT OF SERVICE. THE SILO WAS LOADED WITH CEMENT AT 10 PSI. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE EMISSIONS DURING THE THIRTY MINUTES VE TEST.

> **REVIEWED** By Ray Gordon at 11:35 am, Nov 07, 2011